

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Structure Maintenance & Investigations

Bridge Number : 27C0153 Facility Carried: NOKOMIS AVE

Location : 300' N MADRONE AVE

: SAN ANSELMO

City

Inspection Date : 10/21/2014

Inspection Type

Bridge Inspection Report

Routine FC Underwater Special Other X

STRUCTURE NAME: SAN ANSELMO CREEK

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

Year Built : 1930 Skew (degrees): 15 Year Widened: N/A No. of Joints : Length (m) : 35.1 No. of Hinges :

Structure Description: Reinforced concrete curved haunch T-girder (3) with floor beams on 3

column bents with infilled walls and diaphragm abutments without monolithic wingwalls. All founded on an unknown foundation.

Span Configuration :1 @ 5.49 m, 1 @ 11.58 m, 1 @ 11.89 m, 1 @ 5.49 m

SAFE LOAD CAPACITY AND RATINGS

Design Live Load: UNKNOWN

Inventory Rating: RF=0.47 =>15.2 metric tons Calculation Method: FIELD EVAL/ENG JUDGMENT Operating Rating: RF=0.78 =>25.3 metric tons Calculation Method: FIELD EVAL/ENG JUDGMENT

Permit Rating : XXXXX

Posting Load Type 3S2: Legal : Type 3: Legal Type 3-3:Legal

DESCRIPTION ON STRUCTURE

Deck X-Section: 0.3 m br, 1.5 m sw, 5.5 m, 1.5 m sw, 0.3 m br

9.1 m Net Width: 5.5 m Total Width: No. of Lanes: 2 Speed: 25 mph AC Thickness: 2.0 Inches

Min. Vertical Clearance: Unimpaired

Rail Code: ONNN

Rail Type	Location	Length (ft) Ra	ail Modifications
Concrete	Right/Left	312	
Baluster			

DESCRIPTION UNDER STRUCTURE

Channel Description: Sandy with some large rocks

NOTICE

The bridge inspection condition assessment used for this inspection is based on the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Bridge Element Inspection Manual 2013 as defined in Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) federal law. The new element inspection methodology may result in changes to related condition and appraisal ratings on the bridge without significant physical changes at the bridge.

The element condition information contained in this report represents the current condition of the bridge based on the most recent routine and special inspections. Some of the notes presented below may be from an inspection that occurred prior to the date noted in this report. Refer to the Scope and Access section of this inspection report for a description of which portions of the bridge were inspected on this date.

INSPECTION COMMENTARY

SCOPE AND ACCESS

The water depth on this date was approximately 8 inches at the deepest part of the channel under Span 2. Pier 3 was in water and inspected by wading and probing.

SAFE LOAD CAPACITY

A Load Rating Summary Sheet dated 9/21/2010 is on file for this structure. While this

Printed on: Tuesday 03/17/2015 27C0153/AAAJ/30291 11:43 AM

INSPECTION COMMENTARY

inspection does not include a check of that analysis, it does verify that the structure conditions observed during this inspection are consistent with those assumed in that analysis. The current rating is assigned in accordance with SMI procedures.

WATERWAY

The channel cross section was spot checked with the data of the cross section taken on 9/13/2011. There are no changes in the channel bed.

Elem No.	Defect Defect /Prot	Element Description	Env	Total Qty	Units	531		ondition St. 3	
16		Cop Flange-RC	2	319	sq.m	319	0	0	0
	510 I	Deck Wearing Surface-Asphalt	2	193	sq.m	193	0	0	0
(16) There	were no signi	ficant defects noted.	,			9 (1999)			
	16	ficant defects noted. The depth of spection.	AC ove	rlay c	on the l	oridge (deck wa	s 2 inch	ies at
110	(Girder/Beam-RC	2	105	m	102	3	0	0
	1080 I	Delamination/Spall/Patched Area	2	2		0	2	0	0
	1130	Cracking (RC and Other)	2	1		0	1	. 0	0
from there Based	are rock pock the 9/2010 rep is a spall ab on a field co	ets in the bottom of Girder 2 of Sport, this condition has not changed out 6 inches by 4 inches in the bomparison of the photo from the 9/2	tom of	Girde	er 3 of	Span 3	. No re	bar is e t change	exposed
There from there Based (110-1) There wide a condit	are rock pock the 9/2010 rep is a spall ab on a field con 1130) is a vertical and about 6 fetion does not	ort, this condition has not change	tom of old rep	Girde ort, t The c of th	er 3 of his con crack is ne girde	Span 3 andition sapproxer. At the required	. No re has no cimatel	bar is e t change y 0.016 me, this	xposed.
There from there Based (110-1) There wide a condit	are rock pock the 9/2010 rep is a spall ab on a field co 1130) is a vertical and about 6 fe tion does not rison of the p	ort, this condition has not changed out 6 inches by 4 inches in the bo- mparison of the photo from the 9/2 crack in Girder 2, 6 inches from the et long. This crack appears on both affect the load capacity and no con	tom of old rep	Girde ort, t The c of th	er 3 of his con crack is ne girde	Span 3 andition sapproxer. At the required	. No re has no cimatel	bar is e t change y 0.016 me, this	xposed.
There from to There Based (110-: There wide a condition comparate) 155 (155)	are rock pock the 9/2010 rep is a spall ab on a field co 1130) is a vertical and about 6 fe tion does not rison of the p	out 6 inches by 4 inches in the boundaries on the photo from the 9/2 crack in Girder 2, 6 inches from the long. This crack appears on both affect the load capacity and no control from the 9/2010 report, this crack appears, this crack from the 9/2010 report, this crack appears.	ttom of old representation of the old repres	The control on has	er 3 of his con crack is the girds on is a	Span 3 andition sapproxer. At the required nanged.	. No re has no cimatel this ti	bar is e t change y 0.016 me, this d on a f	inch
There from t There Based (110-: There wide a condit compar 155	are rock pock the 9/2010 rep is a spall ab on a field co 1130) is a vertical and about 6 fe tion does not rison of the p	crack in Girder 2, 6 inches from the located to the	ttom of old representation of the old repres	The control on has	er 3 of his con crack is the girds on is a	Span 3 andition sapproxer. At the required nanged.	. No re has no cimatel this ti	bar is e t change y 0.016 me, this d on a f	inch
There from to There Based (110-: There wide a condit comparate) (155)	are rock pock the 9/2010 rep is a spall ab on a field co 1130) is a vertical and about 6 fetion does not rison of the p were no signi	out 6 inches by 4 inches in the bornparison of the photo from the 9/2 crack in Girder 2, 6 inches from the the long. This crack appears on both affect the load capacity and no conhoto from the 9/2010 report, this crack appears on both of the from the section of	d. ctom of Dlo rep Pier 3. n sides rrective conditi	The confidence action has	er 3 of his con crack is de girde on is not ch	Span 3 andition sapproxer. At the required nanged.	No re has no cimatel this ti	bar is e t change y 0.016 me, this d on a f	inch
There from to There Based (110-1) There wide a condition companion (155) There 205	are rock pock the 9/2010 rep is a spall ab on a field co 1130) is a vertical and about 6 fetion does not rison of the p were no signi 1080 is a spall ap posed. Based of	crack in Girder 2, 6 inches from the located to the located to the photo from the 9/2 crack in Girder 2, 6 inches from the long. This crack appears on both affect the load capacity and no contact from the 9/2010 report, this crack appears on the set long. The set long this crack appears on both affect the load capacity and no contact from the 9/2010 report, this crack appears.	d. ctom of D10 rep Pier 3. n sides rective conditi 2 2 2 2	The coordinate on has 27	er 3 of his con erack is the girde on is not ch m each	Span 3 dition sapproxer. At trequirect nanged. 27	. No rehas no cimatel this tid. Base 0	bar is e t change y 0.016 me, this d on a f	inch ield 0 0 rebar
There from to There Based (110-1) There wide a condition comparation (155) There 205	are rock pock the 9/2010 rep is a spall ab on a field co 1130) is a vertical and about 6 fe tion does not rison of the p were no signi 1080 I 1080 is a spall ap posed. Based or ed.	crack in Girder 2, 6 inches from the location of the photo from the 9/2 crack in Girder 2, 6 inches from the long. This crack appears on both affect the load capacity and no control from the 9/2010 report, this follows be affect the load capacity and no control from the 9/2010 report, this follows be affect to be affect to be affect to be affect the load capacity and no control from the 9/2010 report, this follows be affected and the control follows by a linches in proximately 3 inches by 4 inches in	d. ctom of D10 rep Pier 3. n sides rective conditi 2 2 2 2	The coordinate on has 27	er 3 of his con erack is the girde on is not ch m each	Span 3 dition sapproxer. At trequirect nanged. 27	. No rehas no cimatel this tid. Base 0	bar is e t change y 0.016 me, this d on a f	inch ield 0 0 rebar

ELEME	NT INSPECTION RATINGS AND COMMENTARY							
	Defect Defect Element Description /Prot	Env	Total Qty	Unit	-		ndition St. 3	
	in the November 1991 Supplemental Bridge Report. 2010 report, this condition has not changed.	Based	on a	field	compari	son of t	he photo	from
215	Abutment-RC	2	19	m	19	0	0	0
(215) There	were no significant defects noted.							20 4 4 4 4
220	Pile Cap/Footing-RC	2	2	m	2	0	0	0
(220) There mitiga	were no significant defects noted. New footing wattion.	as con	struct	ed at	Pier 2	upstream	for sco	our
331	Railing-RC	2	70	m	70	0	0	0
(331) There	were no significant defects noted.	1007-00						Tend of more and

WORK RECOMMENDATIONS

RecDate: 08/25/2006

EstCost:

Patch the concrete spalls on the pier.

Action : Sub-Patch spalls

Work By: LOCAL AGENCY

StrTarget: 2 YEARS
DistTarget:

Status : PROPOSED

EA .

Team Leader : Andy N. Dang

Report Author : Andy N. Dang

Inspected By : AN.Dang/RH.Le

Andy N. Dang (Registered Cavil Engineer)

(Date)

Andy N.
Dang

No. 63640

09/30/2016

CIVIL

OF CALIFORNIA

STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT

	**************************************		**************************************
(1)	STATE NAME- CALIFORNIA 069		
(8)	STRUCTURE NUMBER 27C0153		STATUS FUNCTIONALLY OBSOLETE
(5)	INVENTORY ROUTE (ON/UNDER) - ON 150000000		HEALTH INDEX 99.4
(2)	HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT 04		PAINT CONDITION INDEX = N/A
20 - W	COUNTY CODE 041 (4) PLACE CODE 64434		******** CLASSIFICATION ******** CODE
	FEATURE INTERSECTED- SAN ANSELMO CREEK	(112)	NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH- YES Y
15070.51	FACILITY CARRIED- NOKOMIS AVE	(104)	HIGHWAY SYSTEM- NOT ON NHS 0
1 1		(26)	FUNCTIONAL CLASS- LOCAL URBAN 19
	LOCATION- 300' N MADRONE AVE MILEPOINT/KILOMETERPOINT 0		DEFENSE HIGHWAY- NOT STRAHNET 0
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	MIBBIOTHI, KIBOLEIBKI OTTI	10.000	PARALLEL STRUCTURE- NONE EXISTS N
	BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK- NOT ON NET 0		DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC- 2 WAY 2
	LRS INVENTORY ROUTE & SUBROUTE	A	TEMPORARY STRUCTURE-
(16)	LATITUDE 37 DEG 58 MIN 43.16 SEC	/	
	LONGITUDE 122 DEG 34 MIN 00.67 SEC		
(98)	BORDER BRIDGE STATE CODE % SHARE %		
(99)	BORDER BRIDGE STRUCTURE NUMBER		The second secon
,	****** STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL ******		STATE AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PROPERTY OF TH
	STRUCTURE TYPE MAIN: MATERIAL- CONCRETE CONT		OWNER- CITY OR MUNICIPAL HIGHWAY AGENCY 04 HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE- NOT ELIGIBLE 5
(43)	TYPE- TEE BEAM CODE 204	(37)	HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE- NOT ELIGIBLE 5
(44)	STRUCTURE TYPE APPR:MATERIAL- OTHER/NA		********* CONDITION ********* CODE
(44)	TYPE- OTHER/NA CODE 000	(58)	DECK 8
(45)	NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT 4	#30VC10VA	SUPERSTRUCTURE 8
			SUBSTRUCTURE 7
(46)	NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS 0		CHANNEL & CHANNEL PROTECTION 6
(107)	DECK STRUCTURE TYPE- CIP CONCRETE CODE 1	, , , ,	CULVERTS N
(108)	WEARING SURFACE / PROTECTIVE SYSTEM:	(02)	COBVENTS
A)	TYPE OF WEARING SURFACE- BITUMINOUS CODE 6		****** LOAD RATING AND POSTING ****** CODE
	TYPE OF MEMBRANE- NONE CODE 0	(31)	DESIGN LOAD- UNKNOWN 0
C)	TYPE OF DECK PROTECTION- NONE CODE 0	(63)	OPERATING RATING METHOD- FIELD EVAL/ENG JUD 0
	******** AGE AND SERVICE *********	(64)	OPERATING RATING- 25.3
(27)	YEAR BUILT 1930	(65)	INVENTORY RATING METHOD- FIELD EVAL/ENG JUL 0
(106)	YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000	(66)	INVENTORY RATING- 15.2
(42)	TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY-PEDESTRIAN 5	(70)	BRIDGE POSTING- EQUAL TO OR ABOVE LEGAL LOADS 5
	UNDER- WATERWAY 5	\$10000000	STRUCTURE OPEN, POSTED OR CLOSED- A
(28)	LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE 00	,,	DESCRIPTION- OPEN, NO RESTRICTION
(29)	AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 400		
(30)	YEAR OF ADT 2011 (109) TRUCK ADT 2 %		********** APPRAISAL ********* CODE
(19)	BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 2 KM	(67)	STRUCTURAL EVALUATION 4
	********* GEOMETRIC DATA *********	(68)	DECK GEOMETRY 3
(48)	LENGTH OF MAXIMUM SPAN 11.9 M	(69)	UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL N
,,	STRUCTURE LENGTH 35.1 M	(71)	WATER ADEQUACY 4
	CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFT 1.5 M RIGHT 1.5 M		APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT 5
5011115	BRIDGE ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB 5.5 M	(36)	TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURES ONNN
	DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT 9.1 M	(113)	SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES 3
	APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS) 7.3 M		****** PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS *******
100000	BRIDGE MEDIAN- NO MEDIAN 0	. (25)	TYPE OF WORK- MISC STRUCTURAL WORK CODE 38
	SKEW 15 DEG (35) STRUCTURE FLARED NO		
	INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR 99.99 M INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL HORIZ CLEAR 5.5 M		BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT COST \$315,000
	INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL HORIZ CLEAR 5.5 M MIN VERT CLEAR OVER BRIDGE RDWY 99.99 M		ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT COST \$63,000
			TOTAL PROJECT COST \$529,200
(55)	MIN VERT UNDERCLEAR REF- NOT H/RR 0.00 M MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR RT REF- NOT H/RR 0.0 M		YEAR OF IMPROVEMENT COST ESTIMATE 2010
	MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR LT 0.0 M		FUTURE ADT 319
(30)		(115)	YEAR OF FUTURE ADT 2034
	************* NAVIGATION DATA **********		**************************************
(38)	NAVIGATION CONTROL- NO CONTROL CODE 0	(90)	INSPECTION DATE 10/14 (91) FREQUENCY 24 MO
	PIER PROTECTION- CODE		CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: (93) CFI DATE
	NAVIGATION VERTICAL CLEARANCE 0.0 M		FRACTURE CRIT DETAIL- NO MO A)
251 01100000 171000	VERT-LIFT BRIDGE NAV MIN VERT CLEAR M	B)	UNDERWATER INSP- NO MO B)
(40)	NAVIGATION HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE 0.0 M		OTHER SPECIAL INSP- NO MO C)